



ALMA MATER STUDIORUM
UNIVERSITÀ DI BOLOGNA



SIMTWIST PROJECT

Simulating tourism water consumption with stakeholders

Appendix 3

Questionnaire

Hydrosocial cycle analysis of integrated water resources management

Name and surname:
Stakeholder and position:
Date:

1. Value the importance of your role in the integrated water resources management model:	
Essential (5)	
Necessary (4)	
Complementary (3)	
Unhelpful (2)	
Dispensable (1)	
Comments:	
2. Do you feel represented in the current water resources management model?	
Yes, absolutely represented (5)	
Yes, quite represented (4)	
Partially represented (3)	
Not enough represented (2)	
Not represented at all (1)	
Comments:	

3. In your opinion, what means "feeling represented"? (more than one option is possible):					
To set the trend (To be the referent or leader) (5)					
Influence on decisions (To put pressure) (4)					
Be part of the decision-making process (To negotiate) (3)					
Share the discourse with the majority (2)					
Present the own interests to the group (1)					
Others (specify)					
Comments:					
4. To what extent do you "feel represented"?					
Highest (5)					
High (4)					
Medium (3)					
Enough (2)					
Minimum (1)					
Comments:					
5. If you don't feel represented, what is the reason? (more than one option is possible):					
Lack of political will					
Lack of technical/professional recognition					
Lack of social support					
Conflict of interest among stakeholders					
Others (specify)					
Comments:					
6. Valuing the importance of the other stakeholders in the water resources management model:					
	Dispensable (1)	Unhelpful (2)	Complementary (3)	Necessary (4)	Essential (5)
1. Stakeholder name					
2. Stakeholder name					
3. Stakeholder name					
4. Stakeholder name					
5. Stakeholder name					
6. Stakeholder name					
7. Stakeholder name					
8. Stakeholder name					
9. Stakeholder name					
X. Stakeholder name					
Comments:					

7. Valuing the actions of the other stakeholders:

	Awful (1)	Bad (2)	Neither right or wrong (3)	Good (4)	Excellent (5)
1. Stakeholder name					
2. Stakeholder name					
3. Stakeholder name					
4. Stakeholder name					
5. Stakeholder name					
6. Stakeholder name					
7. Stakeholder name					
8. Stakeholder name					
9. Stakeholder name					
X. Stakeholder name					

Comments:

8. In the case of prioritizing agreements with other stakeholders, these are agreements benefited by (more than one option is possible):

Compatibility of discourses (affinity)	
Predisposition of both parties (willingness)	
Common strategy or interest (necessity)	
Existing favorable tools or contexts (viability)	
Favorable/Special treatment (influence)	
Others (specify)	

Comments:

9. With which stakeholders have you established and maintained collaboration or agreements?

	Permanent agreement	Periodic agreement	Timely agreement
1. Stakeholder name			
2. Stakeholder name			
3. Stakeholder name			
4. Stakeholder name			
5. Stakeholder name			
6. Stakeholder name			
7. Stakeholder name			
8. Stakeholder name			
9. Stakeholder name			
X. Stakeholder name			

Comments:

10. With which actors would you be willing to establish collaboration and agreements?

	Permanent agreement	Periodic agreement	Timely agreement
1. Stakeholder name			
2. Stakeholder name			
3. Stakeholder name			
4. Stakeholder name			
5. Stakeholder name			
6. Stakeholder name			
7. Stakeholder name			
8. Stakeholder name			
9. Stakeholder name			
X. Stakeholder name			

Comments:

11. The lack of agreement is due to (more than one option is possible):

Incompatibility of discourses	
Lack of willingness of the parties	
No strategy (no common interest)	
Unequal decision power (influence)	
Lack of favorable tools (difficulty)	
Others (specify)	

Comments: