WATERWORKS2014 ERA-NET COFUNDED CALL:

16 Projects Recommended for Funding

The aim of the WaterWorks2014 Cofunded Call is to enable transnational, collaborative research, development and innovation projects addressing questions relating to the water challenges faced by European society.

The call focuses on the theme "Research and Innovation for Developing Technological Solutions and Services for Water Systems", a topic that partially covers the five priority themes described in the Strategic Research and Innovation Agenda (SRIA) of the Water JPI (http://www.waterjpi.eu).

A total of 16 transnational collaborative research projects were selected for funding by the Call Steering Committee:

Acronym	Title	Title Duration Consortium Coordinator and PI's Organization		Organizations	Countries	
ACWAPUR			Jens Aamand Geological Survey of Denmark and Greenland (GEUS)		Denmark	
	Accelerated Water Purification during	36m	Jesus Carrera	Instituto de Diagnóstico Ambiental y Estudios del Agua	Spain	
	Artificial Recharge of Aquifers - A Tool to Restore Drinking Water Resources	Restore Drinking Water Resources Sara Hallin Swedish University Agricultural Science	Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences (SLU)	Sweden		
			Caterina Levantesi	Italian National Council of Research (CNR)	Italy	
			Xavier Sanchez-Vila	Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya (UPC)	Spain	
	Bioorganic novel approaches for food processing waste water treatment and valorisation: Lupanine case study		Carlos Alberto Afonso	FARM-ID, Faculty of Pharmacy, University of Lisbon (FF-UL)	Portugal	
Biorg4WasteWaterVal+			Frederico Ferreira	Lisbon (FF-UL) Associação do Instituto Superior Técnico para a Investigação e Desenvolvimento (IST-ID/UL) Politecnico di Milano (POLIMI)		
		36m	Francesca Malpei		Italy	
			Thomas Schäfer	Basque Centre for Macromolecular Design & Engineering	Spain	
		_	Michalis Koutinas	Cyprus University of Technology	Cyprus	
			Dina Bastos	A Tremoceira Estrela da Piedade, Lda.	Portugal	
			Pietro Rubino	Italy		
DESERT	Low-cost water DEsalination and		Aldo Moro Agencia Estatal Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas (CSIC) - CEBAS	Spain		
	SEnsoR Technology compact module	36m	Philippe Lebailly	Univesité de Liège	Belgium	
			Anna Maria Stellacci	Consiglio per la ricerca in agricoltura e l'analisi dell'economia agraria	Italy	
			Lucas Galera Quiles	NOVEDADES AGRICOLAS SA	Spain	
	Dikes and Debris Flows Monitoring by		Luca Palmieri	University of Padova	Italy	
DOMINO	Novel Optical Fiber Sensors	36m	Thom Bogaard	Delft University of Technology	The Netherlands	

			Miguel Gonzalez-Herraez	Universidad de Alcala	Spain	
			Alessandro Pasuto	National Research Council - Research Institute for Geo- Hydrological Protection	Italy	
			Sergio Vicente-Serrano	Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Cientificas	Spain	
			Ricardo Trigo	Fundação da Faculdade de Ciências da Universidade de Lisboa (FFCUL)	Portugal	
	luce as the Describe and Florid Forks		Chris Reason	University of Cape Town	South AfricA	
IMDROFLOOD	Improving Drought and Flood Early Warning, Forecasting and Mitigation using real-time hydroclimatic indicators	36	Roxana Bojariu	National Meteorological Administration	Romania	
	3	-	Jaak Jaagus	University of Tartu	Estonia	
			Boris Boincean	Research Institute of Field Crops "Selectia"	Moldova	
			Jainme Ribalaygua	Farisa Asesores y Consultores S.L.	Spain	
			Luis Gimeno	University of Vigo	Spain	
			Tone Merete Muthanna	Norwegian University of Science and Technology	Norway	
			Maria Viklander	Luleå University of Technology	Sweden	
INXCES	INnovations for eXtreme Climatic EventS	36m	John Dehls Geological Survey of Nor		Norway	
	Events		Floris Cornelis Boogaard	Hanze University of applied science in Groningen	The Netherlands	
			Radu Constantin Gogu	Technical University of Civil Engineering Bucharest	Romania	
			Diego S. Intrigliolo	Agencia Estatal Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Cientificas (CSIC) - CEBAS	Spain	
			Daniel Rodriguez Innovati Servicios Tecnologicos, SL		Spain	
IRIDA	Innovative remote and ground sensors, data and tools into a decision support system for agriculture water	36m	Pablo J. Zarco-Tejada	Agencia Estatal Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Cientificas (CSIC) - IAS	Spain	
	management		Simona Consoli	ejada Superior de Investigaciones Cientificas (CSIC) - IAS University of Catania	Italy	
			Giancarlo Roccuzzo	Consiglio per la ricerca in agricoltura e l'analisi dell'economia agraria	Italy	
			Elena Mateescu	National Meteorological Administration	Romania	
			Johannes Deelstra	NIBIO, Norwegian Institute of Bioeconomy Research	Norway	
			Alfieri Pollice	IRSA CNR, Water Research Institute of the National Research Council of Italy	Italy	
MEPROWARE	MEPROWARE	Novel Methodology for the Promotion of Treated Wastewater Reuse for Mediterranean Crops Improvement	24m	Nicola Lamaddalena	CIHEAM-IAMB, Centre International de Hautes Etudes Agronomiques Mediterraneennes - Istituto Agronomico Mediterraneo di Bari	Italy
			Gonçalo Rodrigues	ISA LEAF, Instituto Superior de Agronomia - University of Lisbon	Portugal	
			Jorge De las Heras	UCLM, University of Castilla- La Mancha	Spain	
	Multi-Scale Urban Flood Forecasting:		Jonas Olsson	Swedish Meteorological and Hydrological Institute (SMHI)	Sweden	
MUFFIN	From Local Tailored Systems to a Pan-	lored Systems to a Pan- 36m Sor		Aalborg University	Sweden	
	European Service		Herman Russchenberg	Delft University of Technology	The Netherlands	
			Teemu Kokkonen	Aalto and Helsinki University	Finland	

Pioneer_STP			Juan M. Lema	University of Santiago de Compostela	Spain
	The Potential of Innovative		Francesco Fatone	University of Verona	Italy
	Technologies to Improve Sustainability of Sewage Treatment Plants	36m	Gürkan Sin	Technical University of Denmark	Denmark
			Elzbieta Plaza	Royal Institute of Technology	Sweden
			Jose R. Vazquez-Padin	FCC Aqualia	Spain
			Donald Pierson	Uppsala University	Sweden
	Predicting In-Lake Responses to Change Using Near Real Time Models		Eleanor Jennings	Dundalk Institute of Technology	Ireland
			Elvira de Eyto	Marine Institute	Ireland
PROGNOS		36m	Erik Jeppesen Aarhus University Raoul-Marie Couture Norwegian Institute for Water Research - NIVA	Aarhus University	Denmark
				Norwegian Institute for Water Research - NIVA	Norway
			Gideon gal	Israel Oceanographic and Limnological Research	Israel
			Marco Mancini	Politecnico di Milano	Italy
			Giacomo Branca	Università della Tuscia	Italy
			Massimo Menenti	Delft University of Technology	The Netherlands
	6 . I		Li Jia	RADI-CAS	China
SIM	Smart Irrigation from Soil Moisture Forecast Using Satellite and Hydro- Meteorological Modelling	36m	Romualdo Romero	University of the Balearic Islands	Spain Spain Italy
	r reces or orgream r rocessing		José A. Sobrino	University of Valencia	Spain
			Stefania Meucci	Modellistica e Monitoraggio	Italy
			Raffaele Salerno	Meteo Operations Italia - Centro Epson Meteo	Italy
	Solid Transport Evaluation and	24m	Aronne A. Armanini	Universita' degli Studi di Trento	Italy
STEEP STREAMS	Efficiency in Prevention: Sustainable Techniques of Rational Engineering and Advanced MethodS		Giuliano Di Baldassarre	Uppsala Universitet	Sweden
			Antonio Heleno Cardoso	CEris, IST-ID, Universidade de Lisboa	Portugal
			Franceisco Javier Batlles Garrido	University of Almeria (UAL)	Spain
TH.E.R.BIO.R	Thermal Energy Recovery from a Novel Sequencing Batch Biofilter Granular Reactor	24m	Claudio Di Iaconi	CNR-IRSA National Research	
	Granular Reactor		Ivan Munoz	2.0LCA Consultants	Denmark
			Inaki Acasuso Perez	Hedera Helix Ingenieria y Biotecnologia S.L.	Spain
			Ignasi Rodriguez-Roda Layret	Catalan Institute for Water Research (ICRA)	Spain
watintech	Cusant desentualizad		Teresa de la Torre Garcia	ACCIONA Agua S.A.	Spain
	Smart decentralized water management through a dynamic integration of	36m	Giuseppe Luigi Cirelli	Universita' di Catania (UNICT)	Italy
	tecnologies		Krist V. Gernaey	Technical University of Denmark (DTU)	Denmark
			Adrian Oehmen	NOVA.ID. FCT Universidade Nova de Lisboa	Portugal
			Monica Riva	Politecnico di Milano	Italy
WE-NEED	WatEr NEEDs, availability, quality and	36m	Brian Berkowitz	Weizmann Institute of Science	Israel
WE-NEED	sustainability	30111	Susana Loureiro	Universidade de Aveiro	Portugal
			Daniel Fernandez-Garcia	Universitat Politecnica de Catalunya	Spain

The funding of these projects involve all 17 Funding Partner Organisations (FPOs) from the 15 different countries participating in the call – with the European Commission participation: Belgium (French-speaking community) (F.R.S.-FNRS), Cyprus (RPF), Denmark (IFD), Estonia (MoE-EE and ETAg), Ireland (EPA), Israel (MoE-IL), Italy (MIUR), Moldova (CIP), Norway

(RCN), Portugal (FCT), Romania (UEFISCDI), South Africa (WRC), Spain (MINECO and CDTI), Sweden (FORMAS) and The Netherlands (NWO).

Grants will be awarded to each consortia partner by their national funding organizations according to national rules and procedures. The kick-off of the projects will be scheduled after the conclusion of all national funding procedures and the signature of a Consortium Agreement between the consortia partners.

Call Statistics

A total of 118 pre-proposals were successfully submitted to the Water Works2014 ERA-NET Cofund, the Water JPI 2015 Joint Call. In Table 1 are depicted the key figures in this Two Step Evaluation Process:

Table I – General statistics on the participation level.

General Information	
Number of submitted pre-proposals	118
Number of applicants (Coordinators and Partners)	649
Average number of Partners per Consortium (submitted proposals):	4.50
Number of registered users in the Linkedin Group	211
Number of eligible pre-proposals evaluated in Step 1	106
Number of full-proposals evaluated in Step 2	41
Number of proposals selected for funding	16

A **gender analysis** of the 118 submitted pre-proposals shows a male dominance in the consortia coordination, with around 75% of the pre-proposals being coordinated by male researchers and only 25% by female researchers. This rate decreases when we proceed to the second phase, with 20% of the approved proposals being led by female researchers.

In what concerns the **countries participation** in the call, it was observed that 97% of the submitted pre-proposals involve partners from the funding countries exclusively. The remaining 3% of the pre-proposals include partners from non-funding countries, specifically, China, Canada, Poland and Finland, whose collaboration was based in their own funding (Figure I).

Countries Participation in the Call




Figure I – Countries participation in the call, namely funding countries and non-funding countries.

If one analyses the number of partners per country among the submitted pre-proposals, it is observed a strong engagement of partners from Spain, Italy and Portugal, a pattern that is confirmed in the number of Consortia Coordination's per country, as depicted in Figure 2.

STEP I - Number of Coordinators and Partners per Country




Figure 2 – Total number of Coordinators and Partners per country, considering the 118 submitted pre-proposals.

Considering the strong participation of Spain, Italy and Portugal in this call, it comes as no surprise that among the 41 pre-proposals selected to proceed to the second phase, the weight of these countries prevail (Figure 3).

Selected Pre-Proposals - Number of Coordinators and Partners per Country




Figure 3 – Total number of Coordinators and Partners per country in the group of 41 pre-proposals selected to proceed to Step 2.

Regarding the **typology of the participating organizations**, we can see in Figure 4 that non-profit organizations are the predominant <u>coordinators/partner</u>'s organization type (<u>93%-80%</u>). Only 20% of the proposals involve partners from private enterprises. This number <u>increases decreasess</u> in which regards the coordination of pre-proposals. Only 7% of the pre-proposals submitted are coordinated by enterprises.




Figure 4 – Total number of Coordinators and Partners per organisation type in the group of 118 preproposals submitted to Step 1.

Concerning the **financing plan**, the total requested funding in this call amounts to close to €104 million, which corresponds to about 76% of the total costs declared, and to circa of 7 times more when compared to the available funds (€15 million).

Financing Plan: Overall Numbers




Figure 5 – Financing Plan: Overall requested funding, own contribution and total costs estimated in the group of 118 pre-proposals submitted to the call.

In sync with the the strong participation of non-profit organisations in the call, 87% of the total requested funding comes from this type of organizations (Figure 6).

Requested Funding per Organisation Type




Figure 6 – Overall requested funding by organization type estimated in the group of 118 pre-proposals submitted to the call.

At the end of Step 1, 41 proposals were selected to advance to Step 2 and submit a full proposal, i.e., about 39% of the eligible pre-proposals proceeded to Step 2.

The analysis of the **distribution of the call topics** shows that the array of topics covered by the 106 eligible pre-proposals and the 41 proposals that advanced to Step 2 follow a similar distribution, as depicted in Figure 7. Topic I on *Water Treatment*, *Reuse*, *Recycling and Desalination*, had a large dominance over the other Call topics. Although speculative, the fact The Netherlands limited their funding to proposals covering Topic 3, may have been determinant to increase the participation level in this particular topic. Out of the 20 proposals submitted covering Topic 3, 14 had Dutch partners.




Figure 7 – Distribution of Call Topics in the group of submitted pre-proposals and in the group of proposals that advanced to Step 2, namely, Research and Innovation for Developing Technological Solutions and Services: TI. for Water Treatment, Reuse, Recycling and Desalination; T2. for Water Resources Management; T3. to Mitigate Impacts of Extreme Events (Floods and Droughts) at Catchment Scale.

Step 2 was concluded on the 29-30 October 2015. The Evaluation Panel (EP) met in Lisbon and reached a final consensus evaluation of all 41 full-proposals. The distribution of total scores among the 41 proposals is depicted in Figure 8.




Figure 8 - Distribution of total scores among the 41 proposals.

In the group of 14 proposals scored 9, 13 ranked equally (scored 3 in all three evaluation criteria). The EP re-examined these 13 proposals, distinguish them and unanimously

recommended for funding 6 proposals ranked in high category. Due to budget limitations, the CSC could only propose 3 proposals within this group.

The **shortlist of 16 proposals** selected for funding by the CSC, represents about 39% of the 41 full proposals submitted in this Step. The distribution of topics among these 16 proposals is described in Figure 9. It is interesting to observe the balanced distribution of topics among the proposals recommended for funding.




Figure 9 – Distribution of topics among the 41 proposals selected for the second step (left). Distribution of topics among the 16 shortlisted proposals recommended for funding (right).

In Table 2 is presented a summary of the WaterWorks2014 Evaluation Process, specifically, the number of pre and full proposals submitted per country, and the distribution of the proposals recommended for funding.

Table 2 – Summary of the evaluation process of the WaterWorks 2014 Cofunded Call. The last row of the Table includes average percentages based on the number of countries involved (15 countries).

Country	SUBMISSION STEP		ELIGIBILITY CHECK		FIRST STEP		SECOND STEP	
	Submitted Proposals	Proposals Coordinated		Coordinated Proposals	Advancing to STEP2	Coordinated Advancing to STEP 2	Shortlisted to be Funded	Coordinated Shortlisted to be Funded
Spain	94	37	87	34	35	12	12	5
Italy	80	30	72	25	30	10	12	6
Portugal	64	17	62	16	22	9	6	I
Sweden	29	8	28	8	13	3	6	2
Romania	34	6	28	5	7	0	3	0
Norway	32	6	29	5	10	2	3	ı
Denmark	25	3	23	3	12	3	6	ı
Ireland	15	ı	12	ı	6	0	1	0
Israel	15	2	15	2	4	0	2	0
The Netherlands	14	ı	13	ı	8	0	4	0
Cyprus	17	3	15	3	7	2	1	0
South Africa	10	ı	9	ı	3	0	1	0
Estonia	8	ı	8	ı	2	0		0
Belgium	8	ı	8	ı	ı	0		0
Rep. Moldova	5	I	4	0	I	0	ı	0
AVERAGE %		19%	91%	89%	37%	27%	46%	38%

One can observe that all partner countries are presented in the top 16 shortlisted proposals recommended for funding. Considering the total number of proposals submitted to the WW2014 Call (106), we have a success rate of about 15%. The total funding invested in the projects amounts to $\in 13.979.751$, including the EC contribution. The efforts made by the funding partners participating in this call guaranteed the maximisation of the allocated EC funds (ca. 4.6 M \in).